



Patent  
Attorney's Docket No. 001580-712

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of	)	
John Murata	)	Group Art Unit: 2126
Application No.: 09/680,991	)	Examiner: Truong Lechi
Filed: October 6, 2000	)	Confirmation No.: 1957
For: STREAMING SERVER	)	
ADMINISTRATION PROTOCOL	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated January 11, 2005, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of the claims.

Claims 4-7, 11-14 and 18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103, on the grounds that they were considered to be unpatentable over the newly-cited *Dillingham* patent. The other pending claims were rejected as being unpatentable over the *Dillingham* patent in view of secondary references. For the reasons presented below, it is respectfully submitted that the *Dillingham* patent does not suggest the claimed subject matter to a person of ordinary skill in the art, whether considered by itself or in combination with the other references.

As discussed in detail in Applicant's previous response, the claimed subject matter is directed to the ability to remotely retrieve administrative data pertaining to the operation of a server, through the use of HTTP. As recited in claim 4, for example, an HTTP path name is generated at a client. This path name includes the identity of a container within the server that contains administrative data about the